WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	U	nited St	tates of America v.	ORDER OF DE	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	J	esus G	arcia-Mendez	Case Number:	15-1215MJ	
		e with the blished:	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	3142(f), a detention hearing has been	held. I conclude that the following	
	-	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
×		by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant beending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT				
_	(4)	40.116				
	(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defen would have been a federal offense if a			ndant has been convicted of a (federal a circumstance giving rise to federal	, ·	
			a crime of violence as define	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the max	kimum sentence is life imprisonment o	or death.	
			an offense for which a maxin	num term of imprisonment of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed described in 18 U.S.C. § 314	after the defendant had been convict 42(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ed of two or more prior federal offenses local offenses.	
			any felony that involves a mi device (as those terms are d to register under 18 U.S.C. §	lefined in section 921), or any other da	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S convic	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of the defendant	of not more than five years has elapse from imprisonment) for the offense de	ed since the (date of escribed in finding 1.	
	(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establis asonably assure the safety of (a outted this presumption.	sh a rebuttable presumption that no can)other person(s) and the communit	ondition or combination of conditions y. I further find that the defendant has	
				Alternative Findings		
X	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
			for which a maximum term of and 21 USC § 951 et seq.	f imprisonment of ten years or more is	s prescribed in 21 USC § 801 et seq.,	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 95	56(a), or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, prescribed.	for which a maximum term of impriso	onment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor	victim under section	2	
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$

Case 2:15-mj-01215-JFM Document 9 Filed 04/08/15 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincin evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
ille u	elendant does not dispute the information contained in the Frethal Services Report, except.				

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. \S 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. \S 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

X In addition:

The defendant has minimal ties to Arizona, but he has significant ties to Mexico, including that he resides there when he is unemployed, he owns a home there, and his mother and siblings live there. He has an extensive border crossing history. The defendant is unemployed and does not have financial ties to Arizona. He also admits to marijuana use and tested positive for marijuana use after his arrest. Therefore, the Court finds that he has not rebutted the presumption that he poses a risk of flight.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 7th day of April, 2015.

Bridget S. Bade
Magistra

United States Magistrate Judge